RIIO-ED1 RIGs Environment and Innovation Commentary, version 4.0

2017 - 2018

Electricity North west Limited

Contents

Summary – Information Required	1
Worksheet by worksheet commentary	1
E1 – Visual Amenity	2
E2 – Environmental Reporting	2
E3 -BCF	4
E4 – Losses Snapshot	16
E5 – Smart Metering	22
E6 – Innovative Solutions	24
E7 - LCTs	32

Summary - Information Required

One Commentary document is required per DNO Group. Respondents should ensure that comments are clearly marked to show whether they relate to all the DNOs in the group or to which DNO they relate.

Commentary is required in response to specific questions included in this document. DNO's may include supporting documentation where they consider it necessary to support their comments or where it may aid Ofgem's understanding. Please highlight in this document if additional information is provided.

The purpose of this commentary is to provide the opportunity for DNOs to set out further supporting information related to the data provided in the Environment and Innovation Reporting Pack. It also sets out supporting data submissions that DNOs must provide to us.

Worksheet by worksheet commentary

At a worksheet by worksheet level there is one standard question to address, where appropriate, as follows:

 Allocation and estimation methodologies: DNOs should detail estimates, allocations or apportionments used in reaching the numbers submitted in the worksheets.

This is required for all individual worksheets (ie not an aggregate level), where relevant. Not all tables will have used allocation or estimation methods to reach the numbers. Where this is the case simply note "NA".

Note: this concerns the methodology and assumptions and not about the systems in place to check their accuracy (that is for the NetDAR). This need to be completed for all worksheets, where an allocation or estimation technique was used.

In addition to the standard commentary questions, some questions specific to each worksheet are asked.

E1 - Visual Amenity

Allocation and estimation methodologies: detail any estimations, allocations or apportionments to calculate the numbers submitted.

All expenditure on Electricity North West Limited projects is allocated on a percentage basis to a series of investment drivers. Allocations are calculated by Project Managers based on the respective costs of project deliverables. Volumes are also recorded on projects in a way that indicates the driver.

Undergrounding for Visual Amenity is identified as a separate driver and specific projects are raised for these schemes of work.

Explanation of the increase or decrease in the total length of OHL inside designated areas for reasons other than those recorded in worksheet E1. For example, due to the expansion of an existing, or creation of a new, Designated Area.

After taking account of the planned visual amenity overhead line removal programme, there has been an increase of 0.01km in the total length. This minimal change is most likely due to maintenance activity or a customer request.

E2 – Environmental Reporting

Allocation and estimation methodologies: detail any estimations, allocations or apportionments to calculate the numbers submitted.

All expenditure on Electricity North West Limited projects is allocated on a percentage basis to a series of investment drivers including environment. Cost allocations are calculated by Project Managers based on the respective costs of project deliverables. Volumes are also recorded on projects in a way that indicates the driver.

Environmental investment is identified as a separate driver within the classification system with associated costs and volumes allocated accordingly.

Fluid Filled Cables

The total for fluid filled cable in service was calculated by adding together the asset volumes for 2017/18 for 33kV UG Cable (Oil) and 132kV UG Cable (Oil) from table V1. The volumes were 264.8 km of 33kV cable (cell BS86 of V1) and 144.8km of 132kV cable (cell BS116 of V1) giving a total for fluid filled cable in service of 409.6km.

To calculate the oil in service number (cell AJ21) the following assumptions were used from the operations maintenance tracker:

- > 33kV single core cable = 1 560 litres per km
- > 33kV three core cable = 1 300 litre per km
- > 33kV cable = 1.1835 tanks per km
- 33kV average volume per tank of 173.525 litres
- 30km only of 33kV single core cable
- > 132kV single core cable = 4 800 litres per km
- > 132kV three core cable = 4 000 litre per km

- > 132kV cable = 1.7605 tanks per km
- > 132kV average volume per tank of 337.985 litres
- > 44km only of 132kV single core cable

DNOs must provide some analysis of any emerging trends in the environmental data and any areas of trade-off in performance.

No significant emerging trends were identified in terms of environmental data.

Where reported in the Regulatory Year under report, DNOs must provide discussion of the nature of any complaints relating to Noise Pollution and the nature of associated measures undertaken to resolve them.

19 noise complaints were received in the year all of which related to substation noise. An ex-gratia payment of £150 was made in one case.

Where reported in the Regulatory Year under report, DNOs must provide details of any Non-Undergrounding Visual Amenity Schemes undertaken.

Investment in this area in 2017-18 comprised minor substation civil works i.e. fencing replacement and switchgear replacement and ecology surveys on transmission towers.

In such cases the additional investment is presented on this table. The work has not resulted in any service benefits.

Any Undergrounding for Visual Amenity should be identified including details of the activity location, including whether it falls within a Designated Area.

No additional undergrounding for visual amenity outside of the schemes described in table E1 was undertaken in 2017-18.

Where reported in the Regulatory Year under report, DNOs must provide discussion of details of any reportable incidents or prosecutions associated with any of the activities reported in the worksheet.

N/A

Where reported in the Regulatory Year under report, DNOs must provide discussion of details of any Environmental Management System (EMS) certified under ISO or other recognised accreditation scheme.

Electricity North West Limited is certificated to the ISO 14001 Environmental Management System Standard and successfully retained its certification in 2017-18.

In addition Electricity North West Limited is certificated to the ISO 50001 Energy Management Systems Standard.

DNOs must provide a brief description of any permitting, licencing, registrations and permissions, etc related to the activities reported in this worksheet that you have purchased or obtained during the Regulatory Year.

N/A

DNOs must include a description of any SF6 and Oil Pollution Mitigation Schemes undertaken in the Regulatory Year including the cost and benefit implications and how these were assessed.

No SF₆ mitigation schemes were undertaken in 2017-18.

Six oil mitigation schemes were undertaken relating to work on:

- The bunding for GT1 and GT2 transformers at Droylesden 132kV substation which are close to a culvert;
- Replacing T11 and T12 transformers at Ulverston primary substation;
- Replacing secondary network switchgear at Keswick primary substation;
 and
- Overlay of an oil filled cable on the Kearsley-Bury-Radcliffe 132kV circuit.

E3 -BCF

Allocation and estimation methodologies: detail any estimations, allocations or apportionments to calculate the numbers submitted.

To calculate buildings electricity usage we use data provided by the business energy suppliers and/or landlords for whole buildings or parts of buildings that we occupy. Within this data some estimates for energy usage have been made by where half hourly metering is not installed.

To calculate substation electricity usage we use data provided by the business energy suppliers for metered supplies and the estimated consumption figure as submitted in the unmetered MPAN certificate. Within the metered data, some estimates for energy usage have been made by where half hourly metering is not installed and all of the unmetered supplies are estimates.

To calculate the London Underground element of rail journey distances nominal mileages were used for unspecified Zone 1, 2 and 3+ journeys based on typical locations visited by our staff. Zone 1 journeys were taken to be a three miles one-way, Zone 2, six miles one-way and Zone 3, nine miles one-way.

To calculate the fugitive emissions from air conditioning units an estimated leakage rate is taken from Table 8B in Annex 8 of the 2012 Guidelines to Defra/DECC's GHG Conversion Factors for Company Reporting. To determine which leakage rate applies, the units were compared with the sizing guide in the December 2011 ICF document Development of the GHG Refrigeration and Air Conditioning Model Final Report. All units were judged to be "Small Stationary Air Conditioning" units. The time used by each unit was calculated as 24% of time available in the year based on an assumed usage of eight hours per day, five days per week = 40 hours per week/168 hours in week= 24%.

The reported losses figure is a snapshot of received data as of the date of this report and will change as further settlement reconciliation runs are carried out (up to 28 months after each relevant settlement date).

BCF reporting boundary and apportionment factor

DNOs that are part of a larger corporate group must provide a brief introduction outlining the structure of the group, detailing which organisations are considered within the reporting boundary for the purpose of BCF reporting.

Any apportionment of emissions across a corporate group to the DNO business units must be explained and, where the method for apportionment differs from the method proposed in the worksheet guidance, justified.

N/A

BCF process

The reporting methodology for BCF must be compliant with the principles of the Greenhouse Gas Protocol.¹ Accounting approaches, inventory boundary and calculation methodology must be applied consistently over time. Where any processes are improved with time, DNOs should provide an explanation and assessment of the potential impact of the changes.

N/A

¹ Greenhouse gas protocol

Commentary required for each category of BCF

For <u>each</u> category of BCF in the worksheet (ie Business Energy Usage, Operation Transport etc) DNOs must, where applicable, provide a description of the following information, ideally at the same level of granularity as the Defra conversion factors:

- the methodology used to calculate the values, outlining and explaining any specific assumptions or deviations from the Greenhouse Gas Protocol
- the data source and collection process
- the source of the emission conversion factor (this shall be Defra unless there is a compelling case for using another conversion factor. Justification should be included for any deviation from Defra factors.)
- the Scope of the emissions ie, Scope 1, 2 or 3
- whether the emissions have been measured or estimated and, if estimated the assumptions used and a description of the degree of estimation
- any decisions to exclude any sources of emissions, including any fugitive emissions which have not been calculated or estimated
- any tools used in the calculation
- where multiple conversion factors are required to calculate BCF (eg, due to use of both diesel and petrol vehicles), DNOs should describe their methodology in commentary
- where multiple units are required for calculation of volumes in a given BCF category (eg, a mixture of mileage and fuel volume for transport), DNOs should describe their methodology in commentary, including the relevant physical units, eg miles.

DNOs may provide any other relevant information here on BCF, such as commentary on the change in BCF, and should ensure the baseline year for reference in any description of targets or changes in BCF is the Regulatory Year 2014-15. DNOs should make clear any differences in the commentary that relate to DNO and contractor emissions.

DNO Emissions: Buildings Energy usage - Buildings Electricity

The buildings-electricity energy usage figure is calculated using the kWh usage data provided by the business energy suppliers and/or landlords for whole buildings or parts of buildings that we occupy.

To convert the usage into tCO2e the following conversion factors from the *UK Government Conversion Factors for Company Reporting 2017 Version 1.0* were used:

Emissions source:	UK electricity	Expiry:	31/07/2018	Factor set:	Full set
Scope:	Scope 2	Version:	1.0	Year:	2017

Activity	Country	Unit	Year	kg CO ₂ e
Electricity generated	Electricity: UK	kWh	2017	0.35156

For 2017/18 the calculation is as follows:

Consumption = 5,256,975.54 kWh x 0.35156/1,000 = 1,848.14 tCO2e.

To ensure this figure was the 2018 entry in table E3 a scalar of 0.00035156 (cell BA14) was used:

Total consumption 2017/18 = 5,256,975.54 kWh (cellBQ14) x 0.00035156 = 1,848.14 (cell AF14).

DNO Emissions: Buildings Energy Usage - Substation Electricity

The substation electricity usage data is calculated from kWh usage data provided by the business energy suppliers for metered supplies and the estimated consumption figure as submitted in the unmetered MPAN certificate.

To convert the usage into tCO2e the following conversion factors from the *UK Government Conversion Factors for Company Reporting 2017 Version 1.0* were used:

Emissions source:	UK electricity	Expiry:	31/07/2018	Factor set:	Full set
Scope:	Scope 2	Version:	1.0	Year:	2017

Activity	Country	Unit	Year	kg CO₂e
Electricity generated	Electricity: UK	kWh	2017	0.35156

For 2017/18 the calculation is as follows:

 \triangleright Consumption = 15,400,812.23 kWh x 0.35156/1,000 = 5,414.31 tCO2e.

To ensure this figure was the 2018 entry in table E3 a scalar of 0.00035156 (cell BA16) was used:

Total consumption 2017/18 = 15,400,812.23 kWh (cell BQ16) x 0.00035156 = 5,414.31(cell AF16).

DNO Emissions: Operational Transport - Road

The operational transport figure is calculated from fuel litres purchased data provided by the business fuel card suppliers. All the operational vehicles that we own have diesel engines.

To convert the usage into tCO2e the following conversion factors from the *UK Government Conversion Factors for Company Reporting 2017 Version 1.0* were used:

Emissions source:	Fuels	Expiry:	31/07/2018	Factor set:	Full set
Scope:	Scope 1	Version:	1.0	Year:	2017

Activity	Fuel	Unit	kg CO₂e
Liquid fuels	Diesel (average biofuel blend)	litres	2.60016

For 2017/18 the calculation is as follows:

 \triangleright Consumption = 1,522,702.00 litres x 2.60016 /1,000 = 3,959.27 tCO2e.

To ensure this figure was the 2018 entry in table E3 a scalar of 0.00260016 (cell BA22) was used:

Total consumption 2017/18 = 1,522,702.00 litres (cell BQ22) x 0.00260016 = 3,959.27(cell AF22).

DNO Emissions: Business Transport - Road

The business transport figure for road travel is calculated from the mileages claimed back through the corporate expenses system.

To convert the usage into tCO2e the following conversion factors from the *UK Government Conversion Factors for Company Reporting 2017 Version 1.0* were used:

Emissions source:	Business travel- land	Expiry:	31/07/2018	Factor set:	Full set
Scope:	Scope 3	Version:	1.0	Year:	2017

			Diesel	Petrol
Activity	Туре	Unit	kg CO₂e	kg CO₂e
Cars (by size)	Small car	miles	0.23409	0.25184
	Medium car	miles	0.27972	0.31365
	Large car	miles	0.35139	0.45928

For 2017/18 the calculation is as follows:

- \triangleright Small petrol car: 407,286.00 miles x 0.25184/1,000 = 102.57 tCO2e.
- \rightarrow Medium petrol car: 411,411.00 miles x 0.31365/1,000 = 129.04 tCO2e.
- \triangleright Large petrol car: 113,485.00 miles x 0.45928/1,000 = 52.12 tCO2e.
- > Small diesel car: 1,066,528.00miles x 0.23409/1,000 = 249.66 tCO2e.
- Medium diesel car: 1,294,117.00 miles x 0.27972/1,000 = 361.99 tCO2e.
- Large diesel car: 1,020,583.00 miles x 0.35139/1,000 = 358.62 tCO2e.

This gives a total of 1,254.01 tCO2e. To ensure this figure was the 2018 entry in table E3 a scalar of 0.000290724 (cell BA31) was used:

Total consumption 2017/18 = 4,313,410.00 miles (cell BQ31) x 0.000290724 = 1,254.01 (cell AF31).

DNO Emissions: Business Transport - Rail

The business transport figure for rail is calculated using details provided by our travel supplier of rail journeys undertaken by our employees. The journey details are split into those travelled on national rail and London Underground and then the mileages for each journey calculated using the distances between stations published on the Virgin Trains carbon calculator website. The mileages are then converted into kilometres for calculating the tCO2e.

For London Underground journeys, nominal mileages were used for unspecified Zone 1, 2 and 3+ journeys based on typical locations visited by our staff. Zone 1 journeys were taken to be 3 miles one-way, Zone 2, 6 miles one-way and Zone 3, 9 miles one-way.

Excluded from the rail journey calculations are any journeys booked by employees directly and claimed back through the corporate expenses system as these are minimal and the details not specific enough to make a valid calculation.

To convert the usage into tCO2e the following conversion factors from the *UK Government Conversion Factors for Company Reporting 2017 Version 1.0* were used:

Emissions source:	Business travel- land	Expiry:	31/07/2018	Factor set:	Full set
Scope:	Scope 3	Version:	1.0	Year:	2017

Activity	Туре	Unit	kg CO₂e
Deil	National rail	passenger.km	0.04678
Rail	London Underground	passenger.km	0.04674

For 2017/18 the calculation is as follows:

- National Rail: 447,063.89 km x 0.04678/1,000 = 20.91 tCO2e.
- London Underground: 333.20 km x 0.04674/1,000 = 0.02 tCO2e.

This gives a total of 20.93 tCO2e. To ensure this figure was the 2018 entry in table E3 a scalar of 0.00004678171 (cell BA32) was used:

Arr Total consumption 2017/18 = 447,397.09 km (cell BQ32) x 0.00004678171 = 20.93 (cell AF32).

DNO Emissions: Business Transport – Air

The business transport figure for air travel is calculated using details provided by our travel supplier of air journeys undertaken by our employees. The journey details are split into domestic, short haul international and long-haul international and the kilometres travelled for each journey calculated using the air journey distance calculator on the www.webflyer.com website.

To convert the usage into tCO2e the following conversion factors from the *UK Government Conversion Factors for Company Reporting 2017 Version 1.0* were used:

Emissions source:	Business travel (air)	Expiry:	31/07/2018	Factor set:	Full set
Scope:	Scope 3	Version:	1.0	Year:	2017

				With RF
Activity	Haul	Class	Unit	kg CO ₂ e
	Domestic, to/from UK	Average passenger	passenger.km	0.26744
Flights	Short-haul, to/from UK	Economy class	passenger.km	0.15845
	Long-haul, to/from UK	Business class	passenger.km	0.43843

For 2017/18 the calculation is as follows:

- Domestic, to/from UK, average passenger: 23,313.00 km x 0.26744/1,000 = 6.23 tCO2e.
- Short-haul, to/from UK, economy class: 255,752.17km x 0.15845/1,000 = 40.52 tCO2e.
- Long-haul, to/from UK, business class: 48,320.00 km x 0.43843/1,000 = 21.18 tCO2e.

This gives a total of 67.93 tCO2e. To ensure this figure was the 2018 entry in table E3 a scalar of 0.00020749260 (cell BA34) was used:

Total consumption 2017/18 =327,385.17 km (cell BQ34) x 0.00020749260 = 67.93 (cell AF34).

DNO Emissions: Fugitive Emissions - SF6

The amount of sulphur hexafluoride (SF_6) emitted is calculated using the actual mass of SF_6 used when topping up or replacing distribution network apparatus with low gas or gas loss. The top-up amounts are the actual amounts recorded by the engineers on-site when topping up. The loss amounts for apparatus that has been replaced as a result of gas loss are the amounts of gas held by those units less that recovered during the disposal process.

To convert the usage into tCO2e the following conversion factors from the *UK Government Conversion Factors for Company Reporting 2017 Version 1.0* were used:

Emissions source:	Refrigerant & other	Expiry:	31/07/2018	Factor set:	Full set
Scope:	Scope 1	Version:	1.0	Year:	2017

Act	ivity	Emission	Unit	kg CO₂e
Кус	oto protocol – standard	Sulphur hexafluoride (SF6)	kg	22800

For 2017/18 the calculation is as follows:

> 0.05427 tonnes x 22,800 = 1,237.36 tCO2e

To ensure this figure was the 2018 entry in table E3 a scalar of 22.80 (cell BA40) was used:

Arr Total emissions 2017/18 = 54.27kg (cell BQ40) x 22.80 = 1,237.36 (cell AF40).

DNO Emissions: Fugitive Emissions - Gases Other

The "gases other" figure is calculated using data held on the capacity and type of HFC gases contained in air conditioning units in use within our occupied offices.

An estimated leakage rate is taken from Table 8B in Annex 8 of the 2012 Guidelines to Defra/DECC's GHG Conversion Factors for Company Reporting. To determine which leakage rate applies the units were compared with the sizing guide in the December 2011 ICF document Development of the GHG Refrigeration and Air Conditioning Model Final Report. All units were judged to be "Small Stationary Air Conditioning" units.

The time used by each unit was calculated as 24% of time available in the year based on an assumed usage of 8 hours per day, 5 days per week = 40 hours per week/168 hours in week = 24%.

To convert the usage into tCO2e the following conversion factors from the *UK Government Conversion Factors for Company Reporting 2016 Version 1.0* were used:

Emissions source:	Refrigerant & other	Expiry:	31/07/2018	Factor set:	Full set
Scope:	Scope 1	Version:	1.0	Year:	2017

Activity	Emission	Unit	kg CO2e
Montreal protocol - standard	HCFC-22/R22 = chlorodifluoromethane	kg	1810

Activity	Emission	Unit	kg CO2e
Kusta protocol blands	R407C	kg	1774
Kyoto protocol- blends	R410A	kg	2088

The capacity for each HFC type is multiplied by the time used percentage, the annual leak rate and the global warming potential conversion factor to provide the tCO2e number.

For 2017/18 the calculation is as follows:

- R22: 0.035 tonnes charging capacity x 24% usage x 3% leakage rate x 1.810 = 0.46 tCO2e.
- R407C: 0.1268 tonnes charging capacity x 24% usage x 3% leakage x 1,774 = 1.62 tCO2e.
- > R410A: 0.966788 tonnes charging capacity x 24% usage x 3% leakage x 2,088 = 14.53 tCO2e.

This gives a total of 16.61 tCO2e. To ensure this figure was the 2018 entry in table

E3 a scalar of 2.044 (cell BA41) was used:

Total emissions 2017/18 = 8.1258336kg (cell BQ41) x 2.044 = 16.61(cell AF41).

DNO Emissions: Fuel Combustion - Diesel

The fuel combustion - diesel figure is calculated from fuel litres purchased data provided by the business plant card supplier.

To convert the usage into tCO2e the following conversion factors from the *UK Government Conversion Factors for Company Reporting 2017 Version 1.0* were used:

Emissions	Fuels	Expiry:	31/07/2018	Factor	Full
source:	i ueis	Expiry.	31/0//2016	set:	set

Scope: Scope 1 Version:	1.0	Year:	2017
-------------------------	-----	-------	------

Activity	Fuel	Unit	kg CO₂e
Liquid fuels	Diesel (average biofuel blend)	litres	2.60016

For 2017/18 the calculation is as follows:

Consumption: 50,758.00 litres x 2.60016/1,000 = 131.98 tCO2e.

To ensure this figure was the 2018 entry in table E3 a scalar of 0.0026001(cell BA47) was used:

> Total consumption 2017/18 = 50,758.00 litres (cell BQ47) x 0.0026001= 131.98 (cell AF47).

DNO Emissions: Fuel Combustion - Other

The fuels other figure is calculated from fuel litres purchased data provided by the business fuel and fuel card suppliers.

To convert the usage into tCO2e the following conversion factors from the *UK Government Conversion Factors for Company Reporting 2017 Version 1.0* were used:

Emissions source:	Fuels	Expiry:	31/07/2018	Factor set:	Full set
Scope:	Scope 1	Version:	1.0	Year:	2017

Activity	Fuel	Unit	kg CO₂e
Gaseous fuels	LPG	litres	1.50807

Activity	Fuel	Unit	kg CO₂e
Liquid fuels	Gas oil	litres	2.95351
	Petrol (average biofuel blend)	litres	2.19835

For 2017/18 the calculation is as follows:

- Petrol consumption: 21,675.00 litres (average biofuel petrol) x 2.19835/1,000 = 47.65 tCO2e.
- Gas oil consumption: 50,478.00 litres x 2.95351/1,000 = 149.09 tCO2e

This gives a total of 196.74 tCO2e. To ensure this figure was the 2018 entry in table E3 a scalar of 0.00272670575 (cell BA49) was used:

ightharpoonup Total consumption 2017/18 = 72,153.00 litres (cell BQ49) x 0.00272670575 = 196.74 (cell AF49).

DNO Emissions: Losses

Losses occur in all electricity networks, and for GB distribution companies typically represent 5-10% of energy distributed to end customers. Losses are usually divided into two categories: technical and non-technical. Technical losses can be further divided into fixed losses (e.g. transformer iron losses) and variable losses which are dependent on power flows in circuits, both of which have a direct carbon impact. Non-technical losses include unregistered or illegal connections, theft, meter inaccuracies, meter settlement errors and other settlement data issues.

Losses are measured as the difference between energy entering (generation) and energy exiting the network (demand), as recorded under the Balancing and Settlement Code (BSC) arrangements. Reported losses therefore do not distinguish between technical and non-technical losses.

The reported figure is a snapshot of received data as of the date of this report and will change as further settlement reconciliation runs are carried out (up to 28 months after each relevant settlement date).

To convert the usage into tCO2e the following conversion factors from the *UK Government Conversion Factors for Company Reporting 2017 Version 1.0* were used:

Emissions source:	UK electricity	Expiry:	31/07/2018	Factor set:	Full set
Scope:	Scope 2	Version:	1.0	Year:	2017

Activity	Country	Unit	Year	kg CO₂e
Electricity generated	Electricity: UK	kWh	2017	0.35156

For 2017/18 the calculation is as follows:

Reported losses = 1,457,195,550.00 kWh x 0.35156/1,000 = 512,291.67 tCO2e.

To ensure this figure was the 2018 entry in table E3 a scalar of 0.00035156 (cell BA55) was used:

Total consumption 2017/18 = 1,457,195,550.00 kWh (cell BQ55) x 0.00035156= 512,291.67(cell AF55).

Contractors

When reporting BCF emissions due to contractors in the second half of the worksheet please:

- Explain, and justify, the exclusion of any contractors and any thresholds used for exclusion.
- Provide an indication of what proportion of contractors have been excluded. This figure could be calculated based on contract value.

Please provide a description of contractors' certified schemes for BCF where a breakdown of the calculation for their submitted values is not provided in the worksheet.

If a DNO's accredited contractor is unable to provide a breakdown of the

calculation and has entered a dummy volume unit of `1' in the worksheet please provide details of the applicable accredited certification scheme which applies to the reported values.

For the BCF emissions due to contractors, only Operational Transport – Road and fuels other have been calculated.

The fuel usage figure from contractors includes the usage by the larger framework contractors only and excludes any usage by smaller, low volume subcontractors where the collation of data is impractical. The proportion of BCF emissions attributable to the excluded contractors is estimated at less than 10%.

Contractor Emissions: Operational Transport - Road

The contractor operational transport figure is calculated using road fuel litres used data provided by contractors in relation to their fleet usage on our behalf.

The fuel usage figure from contractors includes the usage by the larger framework contractors only and excludes any usage by smaller, low volume subcontractors where the collation of data is impractical.

To convert the usage into tCO2e the following conversion factors from the *UK Government Conversion Factors for Company Reporting 2017 Version 1.0* were used:

Emissions source:	Fuels	Expiry:	31/07/2018	Factor set:	Full set
Scope:	Scope 1	Version:	1.0	Year:	2017

Activity	Fuel	Unit	kg CO₂e
Liquid fuels	Diesel (average biofuel blend)	litres	2.60016

For 2017/18 the calculation is as follows:

Consumption = 1,160,302.45 litres x 2.60016/1,000 = 3,016.97 tCO2e.

To ensure this figure was the 2018 entry in table E3 a scalar of 0.002600158 (cell BA68) was used:

Arr Total consumption 2017/18 = 1,160,302.45 litres (cell BQ68) x 0.002600158 = 3,016.97(cell AF68).

Contractor Emissions: Fuel Combustion – Other

The fuels other figure is calculated from fuel litres used data provided by contractors in relation to their generator and plant usage on our behalf.

To convert the usage into tCO2e the following conversion factors from the *UK Government Conversion Factors for Company Reporting 2017 Version 1.0* were used:

	missions ource:	Fuels	Expiry:	31/07/2018	Factor set:	Full set
Sc	cope:	Scope	Version:	1.0	Year:	2017

1					
Activity		Fuel		Unit	kg CO₂e
Gaseous fuels		LPG		litres	1.50807
Activity Fuel				Unit	kg CO₂e
Gas (oil		litres	2.95351
	Petrol (average biofuel blend)		litres	2.19835	

For 2017/18 the calculation is as follows:

- > Petrol consumption = 15,060.99 litres (average biofuel petrol) x 2.19835/1,000 = 33.11 tCO2e.
- Gas oil consumption = 1,142,442.92 litres x 2.95351/1,000 = 3,374.22 tCO2e
- ➤ LPG consumption = 18,057.00 litres x 1.50807/1,000 = 27.23 tCO2e.

This gives a total of 3,434.56 tCO2e. To ensure this figure was the 2018 entry in table E3 a scalar of 0.00292163509 (cell BA95) was used:

> Total consumption 2017/18 = 1,175,560.91litres (cell BQ95) x 0.00292163509 = 3,434.56 (cell AF95).

Building energy usage

Natural gas, Diesel and other fuels are all categorised as fuel combustion and must be converted to tCO2e on either a Gross Calorific Value (Gross CV) or Net Calorific Value (Net CV) basis. The chosen approach should be explained, including whether it has been adapted over time.

Substation Electricity must be captured under Buildings Energy Usage. Please explain the basis on which energy supplied has been assessed.

We only use electricity as our energy source for buildings and substations.

The buildings and substation electricity energy usage figures are calculated using kWh usage data. To convert the usage into tCO₂e the following conversion factors from the *UK Government Conversion Factors for Company Reporting 2017 Version 1.0* were used:

Emissions source:	UK electricity	Expiry:	31/07/2018	Factor set:	Full set
Scope:	Scope 2	Version:	1.0	Year:	2017

Activity	Country	Unit	Year	kg CO₂e
Electricity generated	Electricity: UK	kWh	2016	0.35156

E4 – Losses Snapshot

Allocation and estimation methodologies: detail any estimations, allocations or apportionments to calculate the numbers submitted.

The Losses Snapshot Table E4 has been completed based on the losses reduction initiatives detailed in our current Losses Strategy (April 2015). Other work may have helped to reduce Distribution Losses but the decision to undertake the activity was not driven by losses benefit, therefore this activity is not reported in Table E4.

Due to the new reporting format (i.e. restricted to two examples for each category), not all initiatives detailed in our Losses Strategy (April 2015) are listed in the Snapshot Table E4. They are however still being carried out, in line with our decision in Losses Strategy (April 2015). The excluded initiatives are:

- Proactive replacement of 800kVA ground mounted transformers
- Opportunistic replacement of pre-1970 200kVA pole mounted transformers

This RRP (2018) submission is based on the same assumptions as the 2015 Losses Strategy.

Technical Losses

All costs reported in Table E4 (Columns V and AD) and those costs contained within the supporting CBA workbooks are reported in 2012-13 price base to be consistent with our Losses Strategy (April 2015).

The losses Snapshot Table E4 has been completed based on the losses reduction initiatives detailed in our Losses Strategy (April 2015). For Technical Losses these

are as follows:

- Opportunistic installation with 300mm² HV cable
- Opportunistic installation with 300mm² LV cable
- Proactive replacement of 1000kVA ground mounted transformers
- Opportunistic installation of primary transformers (33kV/HV)

The following provides the detail of any estimates, allocations or apportionments made when calculating the numbers submitted for each of the initiatives;

All Technical Losses Initiatives

Where the primary driver (column E) is detailed as Other the base volume number is taken from C&V Tables CV1, CV2, CV3, CV5, CV6, CV7 CV13, CV14, CV15, CV16, CV18, CV19, CV20, CV22, CV23, CV24, CV25, CV26, CV27, CV28, CV29, CV36, CV38, CV39, V3 and V4.

Where the primary driver (column E) is detailed as Equipment to Manage Losses the base volume number is taken from C&V Table CV21.

For all initiatives it was assumed that there were no losses saving in the first year (2015-16) and the full losses saving in the following years.

Opportunistic installation with 300mm² HV cable

We purchase HV cable in the following standard sizes; 95mm², 185mm² and 300mm². Our corporate Capital Programme Management system (CPM) does not record the size of cable installed. Therefore, the volumes of 300mm² HV cable (km) installed is calculated to be the aggregate volume from the appropriate CV Table (per driver) apportioned in the ratio of 300mm² HV cable purchased: total HV cable purchased.

The baseline solution and losses reduction activity unit costs are the same as those assumed for our 2015 Losses Strategy and are expressed on 2012-13 prices.

The unit (1km) losses benefit was calculated as the losses saved by replacing a 185mm^2 HV cable with 300mm^2 HV cable. The peak current was assumed to be the thermal rating of the 185mm^2 cable. A typical (for ENWL) load factor of 0.53 was assumed across the network leading to a calculated loss load factor of approximately 0.3.

Opportunistic installation with 300mm² LV cable

We purchase LV cable in the following standard sizes; 95mm², 185mm² and 300mm². Our corporate Capital Programme Management system (CPM) does not record the size of cable installed. Therefore, the volumes of 300mm² LV cable (km) installed is calculated to be the aggregate volume from the appropriate CV Table (per driver) apportioned in the ratio of 300mm² LV cable purchased: total LV cable purchased.

The baseline solution and losses reduction activity unit costs are the same as those assumed for our 2015 Losses Strategy and are expressed on 2012-13 prices.

The unit (1km) losses benefit was calculated as the losses saved by replacing a 185mm² LV cable with 300mm² LV cable. The peak current was assumed to be the thermal rating of the 185mm² cable. A typical (for ENWL) load factor of 0.53 was assumed across the network leading to a calculated loss load factor of approximately 0.3.

Proactive replacement of 1000kVA ground mounted transformers

The volume of ground mounted transformers replaced proactively (Equipment to manage losses) is reported in CV21. The recorded volume consists of both 1000kVA and 800kVA units. The volume split between the 1000kVA and the 800kVA units is established by inspection of our Master Asset Management System (MAMS).

The baseline solution and losses reduction activity unit costs are the same as those assumed for our 2015 Losses Strategy and are expressed on 2012-13 prices.

The losses calculations is based on transformer resistance values. The peak current was assumed to be the thermal rating of the of the transformer type. A typical (for ENWL) load factor of 0.53 was assumed across the network leading to a calculated loss load factor of approximately 0.3.

Opportunistic installation of primary transformers (33kV/HV)

Sixteen primary transformers were delivered in 2017-18.

The baseline solution and losses reduction activity unit costs are the same as those assumed for our 2015 Losses Strategy and are expressed on 2012-13 prices.

The losses calculations is based on transformer resistance values. The peak current was assumed to be half (because primary transformers are installed as pairs for resilience) the thermal rating of the of the transformer type. A typical (for ENWL) load factor of 0.53 was assumed across the network leading to a calculated loss load factor of approximately 0.3.

Non-Technical Losses

The costs associated with Relevant Theft of Electricity activities are taken directly from C&V Tables CV21, C9 and I5. These costs included the costs of investigating all reported or suspected instances of Relevant Theft of Electricity. Many of these are not ultimately found to be cases of Relevant Theft of Electricity, and therefore have no losses benefit associated with them, but costs are included to reflect the full cost of operating a Relevant Theft of Electricity activity.

The income associated with Relevant Theft of Electricity activities is also taken directly from C&V Tables CV21, C9 and I5. This income represents all income received during 2017-18 and will include some payments received from instances of theft identified in prior years (for example where a customer agrees to a payment plan and pays the debt over several years). We make no adjustment in our CBA to reflect the lag in receiving income.

The net of costs and associated income is reported within the losses snapshot table. As income was slightly higher than costs during 2017-18 we report a negative value for this year.

We estimate the losses benefit associated with identifying and remedying instances of Relevant Theft of Electricity as follows:

- For sites where we have billed the customer for the value of electricity for 12 months of theft (our usual approach), we quantify losses based on the invoiced amount of electricity used. We assume that this full losses benefit is achieved in the year that we identify the theft, reflecting the fact that the full 12 months has been invoiced. We assume this whether or not the customer has yet paid anything against the invoice; this reflects the benefit associated with there being no ongoing theft.
- For sites where we have billed the customer for the value of electricity for less than 12 months of theft (for example if the customer has not lived in the property for a year), we quantify losses benefit in year 1 based on the invoiced amount of electricity used. For subsequent years we increase the losses benefit to a full 12 month effect reflecting the full amount of electricity that will no longer be being stolen. We assume this whether or not the customer has yet paid anything against the invoice; this reflects the benefit associated with there being no ongoing theft.
- For sites where we have identified theft but have not raised an invoice, for example where we have no reasonable expectation of recovering the costs, where the values involved are very small (for example where a customer has only just moved into a property) or where all lost units will be recovered via a supplier (following registration of a new MPAN) we assume a losses benefit of 10kWh per day for domestic properties and 30kWh a day for commercial properties. We assume that none of this losses benefit is achieved in the year that we identify the theft, with 100% of the benefit achieved from year 2 onwards.

These losses benefits reflect the fact that electricity is no longer being stolen – either the theft has ceased or the units are being entered into settlements.

In all cases we assume that the losses benefits persist on an ongoing basis, ie that the customer continues to use electricity at the rate we assumed, that the customer does not revert to stealing electricity and that the site is not disconnected.

Programme/Project Title

Please provide a brief summary and rationale for each of the activities in column C which you have reported against.

Technical Losses

Opportunistic installation with 300mm² HV cable

Opportunistic installation of large cross-section cables (300mm^2) at high voltage (HV - 6.6 kV and 11 kV) as standard, instead of a mix of smaller $(95 \text{mm}^2 \text{ and } 185 \text{mm}^2)$ cables. This will reduce circuit resistance, reduce losses and provides a positive business case.

Opportunistic installation with 300mm² LV cable

Opportunistic installation of large cross-section cables (300mm²) at low voltage

(LV – 430/240V) as standard, instead of a mix of smaller (95mm² and 185mm²) cables. This will reduce circuit resistance, reduce losses and provides a positive business case.

Proactive replacement of 1000kVA ground mounted transformers

Proactively replace old (pre-1990) 1000kVA, ground mounted, secondary network transformers with lower loss EU Eco Design 2015 specification transformers. The old transformers have particularly high losses such that there is a positive business case for proactive replacement of these units.

Opportunistic installation of primary transformers (33kV/HV)

When installing or replacing a primary transformer, a lower loss unit which complies with the latest European Union standard (EU Eco Design 2015) specification will be installed. The lower loss units can now be procured at the same cost as the old (higher losses) specification units; therefore there is a positive business case for opportunistic replacement of these units.

Non-Technical Losses

Proactive investigation of Relevant Theft of Electricity. Identifying of instances of theft, rectifying the theft so that electricity is no longer stolen and, where appropriate, seeking to recover the value of electricity stolen and any associated costs from the customer. During 2017-18 we identified many instances of Relevant Theft of Electricity, delivering significant losses benefits by preventing further theft or ensuring units are correctly captured in settlements. We recovered associated monies from customers that totalled slightly more than our associated costs.

Primary driver of activity

If, in column E, you have selected 'Other' as the primary driver of the activity, please provide further explanation.

In respect of Technical Losses initiatives 'Other' has been selected as a primary driver (in column E) where the initiative is an opportunistic investment. Opportunistic initiatives are changes in policy affecting all business as usual activities. So for example installing larger cross-section HV cable as standard will affect reinforcement, asset replacement, fault level and any other activity that requires HV cable.

In respect of Relevant Theft of Electricity activity 'Other' has been selected as a primary driver (in column E) because it does not apply to reinforcement, asset replacement and fault level activities.

Baseline Scenario

Please provide a brief description of the 'Baseline Scenario' inputted in column K for each activity.

Technical Losses

Opportunistic installation with 300mm² HV cable

The baseline scenario is to continue to install 95mm² and 185mm² cables. In the CBA analysis the baseline scenario assumed activity was all 185mm² cable producing a conservative estimate of losses reduced.

Opportunistic installation with 300mm² LV cable

The baseline scenario is to continue to install 95mm² and 185mm² cables. In the CBA analysis the baseline scenario assumed activity was all 185mm² cable producing a conservative estimate of losses reduced.

Proactive replacement of 1000kVA ground mounted transformers

The baseline scenario assumed that the high loss 1000kVA transformer units were not replaced.

Opportunistic installation of primary transformers (33kV/HV)

The baseline scenario assumed that primary transformers that complied with ENWL's old standard would be installed.

Non-Technical Losses

The baseline scenario assumes that no Relevant Theft of Electricity activity is undertaken.

We set the baseline losses assumption to be equal to the benefits associated with theft identified during the year. In reality it is likely that the losses associated with ongoing theft is greater than this – but it is impossible for us to quantify this. As CBA modelling works on a marginal basis this approach should appropriately reflect the benefits gained.

Use of the RIIO-ED1 CBA Tool

DNOs should use the latest version of the RIIO-ED1 CBA Tool for each of the activities reported in column C. Where the RIIO-ED1 CBA Tool cannot be used to justify an activity, DNOs should explain why and provide evidence for how they have derived the equivalent figures for the worksheet. The most up-to-date CBA for each activity reported in the Regulatory Year under report must be submitted.

RIIO-ED1 CBA Tool version 'Template CBA RIIO ED1 v4' has been used on all CBA analysis associated with this submission.

We have not changed the assumptions from those contained within 'Template CBA RIIO ED1 v4'.

Changes to CBAs

If, following an update to the CBA used to originally justify the activity in column C, the updated CBA shows:

- a negative net benefit for an activity, but the DNO decides it is in the best interests of consumers to continue the activity, or
- a substantively different NPV from that used to justify an activity that has already begun.

the DNO should include an explanation of what has changed and why the DNO is continuing the activity.

For example, where the carbon price used in the RIIO-ED1 CBA Tool has changed from that used to inform the decision such that the activity no longer has a positive NPV.

Cost benefit analysis additional information

Please include a reference to the file name and location of any additional relevant evidence submitted to support the costs and benefits inputted into this worksheet. This should include the most recent CBA for each activity reported in column C in the Regulatory Year under report.

The table below lists the Losses initiative and the name of its relevant CBA:

Losses Initiative	Primary Driver of Activity	CBA Name
Opportunistic installation with	Other	2018 Install 300sqmm HV
300mm ² HV cable		Cable versus 185sqmm HV
Opportunistic installation with	Other	2018 Install 300sqmm LV
300mm ² LV cable		Cable versus 185sqmm LV
Proactive replacement of	Equipment to manage losses	2018 Proactive 1000kVA GMT
1000kVA ground mounted		Replacement CV21
transformers		
Opportunistic installation of	Other	2018 Programme 23MVA
primary transformers		Replacement
(33kV/HV)		
Relevant Theft of Electricity	Other	2018 CBA for E4 Theft of
		Electricity

E5 – Smart Metering

Allocation and estimation methodologies: detail any estimations, allocations or apportionments to calculate the numbers submitted.

There is very little allocation or estimation within table C22 as the vast majority of costs reflect actual invoicing.

Actions to deliver benefits

Detail what activities have been undertaken in the relevant regulatory year to produce benefits of smart metering where efficient and maximise benefits overall to consumers. At a minimum this should include:

- A description of what the expenditure reported under Smart Meter Information Technology Costs is being used to procure and how it expects this to deliver benefits for consumers.
- A description of the benefits expected from the non-elective data procured as part of the Smart Meter Communication Licensee Costs. The DNO should set out how it has used this data.
- A description of the Elective Communication Services being procured, how it has used these services, and a description of the benefits the DNO expects to achieve.

Smart Meter Communication Licensee Costs

The £1.29m Communication Licensee Costs for 2017-18 are those costs payable by us to the Data and Communications Company (DCC), as required by the Smart Energy Code and defined by DCC's published charging methodology statement. The costs have slightly reduced compared to last year as the DCC reported an underspend from 2016/17 and returned the costs via reduced charges in 2017/18.

The cost for 2017 has been amended from £1.45m to £1.43m to reflect the actual value in cell S30 in table C22 – Pass through.

Smart Meter Information Technology Costs

The £1.93m IT costs incurred in 2017-18 covered the continued implementation, commissioning and support of the gateway infrastructure connecting our IT systems to the DCC central systems as part of the Smart Meter Implementation Programme and required by the Smart Energy Code.

Connection to DCC's central systems facilitates access to smart meter data, generated from alerts and service requests, which in the longer term will enable a network operator to manage its network more effectively and cost efficiently for its customers.

Our gateway became live on the 7th December 2017 although it should be noted that further development work is required to cater for changes in scope including DCC Release2 and SMETS1 adoption and to define integration of the available smart meter data into our internal systems. The costs have reduced compared to last as the prior period also covered one-off costs of infrastructure procurement and implementation.

Calculation of benefits

Explain how the benefits have been calculated, including all assumptions used and details of the counterfactual scenario against which the benefits are calculated.

N/A. The Smart Meter programme has not yet rolled out to the extent that benefits are identifiable.

Use of the RIIO-ED1 CBA Tool

DNOs should use the latest version of the RIIO-ED1 CBA Tool for each solution reported in the worksheet in the Regulatory Year under report. Where the RIIO-ED1 CBA Tool cannot be used to justify a solution, DNOs should explain why and provide evidence for how they have derived the equivalent figures for the worksheet. The most up-to-date CBA for each activity reported in the Regulatory Year under report which are used to complete the worksheet must be submitted.

N/A.

Cost benefit analysis additional information

Please include a reference to the file name and location of any additional relevant evidence submitted to support the costs and benefits inputted into this worksheet. This should include the most recent CBA for each solution reported in the Regulatory Year under report.

N/A.

E6 - Innovative Solutions

Allocation and estimation methodologies: detail any estimations, allocations or apportionments to calculate the numbers submitted.

Capacity to customers

There are no connections reported in the year because the schemes that were energised were all sole use assets and so do not have any offsets.

Transformer regeneration

The standard solution for 132kV and 33kV transformers which have a Health Index (HI) of 5 is to replace them, whilst transformers with a HI of 4 are refurbished. The innovative regeneration solution is to replace transformers at HI5 with a criticality of 2-4 and refurbish those at HI5 with a criticality of 1 and HI4 with a criticality of 2-4. The costs used in the CBA are derived from the CV7 and CV9 tables from the Costs and Volumes pack.

LV Fault Management

The CBA is informed by the number of LV ways fitted with reclosing devices during 2017 – 18, the number of times the devices operated prior to the fault being located and repaired, and the number of customers fed off each way. It was assumed faults occurred linearly throughout the week and therefore costs for the baseline case include premium time working. Each callout to replace a fuse was costed at three hours and it was assumed that customers would be without supply for at least 90 minutes.

Repairs were assumed to commence immediately a fault became permanent. It was assumed that the installation of reclosing devices removed the requirement for fuse replacement and as supplies were restored within three minutes, the Customer Interruptions and Customer Minutes Lost were reduced. The input from the Fault Support Centre (FSC) allowed faults to be located prior to becoming permanent and it was assumed that all faults were repaired during normal working hours. The cost of setting up the FSC was included in year 1 of the CBA.

Connection and Management of PV Clusters

The volumes used for this CBA were based on the numbers of customers added to the Feed-In Tariff database during 2017-18. For the baseline scenario, it was assumed that there would be a requirement to purchase a tool for LV system analysis and that clusters of PV would consist of 24 properties covering 20% of the total. The remaining 80% would have an average 1.5 properties per application. Planning time was allocated at 12 hours per scheme for larger schemes and one hour per scheme for smaller schemes.

Solutions for the larger schemes were split between LV cable overlay (5%), transformer change (5%) and altering transformer taps (40%). It was assumed that for 50% of the larger schemes and all of the smaller schemes that the PV demand was approved.

For the Connect & Manage scenario, it was assumed that 20% of the applications would lead to LV system monitoring being installed and 20% of the total number requiring further planning. Of those that require planning and monitoring, it was assumed that only 10% would require any reinforcement works, and of this 10%, 10% would require an LV cable overlay and 90% would require the tap positioning to be altered.

Demand Side Response

Catterall primary is compliant with ENA Engineering Recommendation (ER) P2/6. The non-compliance issue only exists when the system is operating abnormally (i.e under a fault situation), as the demand exceeds the transfer firm capacity. Deferring the reinforcement and entering into a commercial contract with United Utilities to purchase the demand at Catterall allows us to monitor Catterall's primary demand patterns and enables us to be compliant with ER P2/6.

Catterall Primary Substation has a single 7.5MVA transformer and a firm capacity of 5 MVA, limited by High Voltage transfer capability. The peak demand at Catterall Primary is 7.41 MVA, which exceeds the firm capacity by 2.41 MVA.

The CBA uses the agreed commercial costs of £13,500 per MVA, in which we purchase 3 MVA under such fault conditions. The CBA was informed by actual costs as referenced in table CV1 and the losses were calculated based on load projections up to 2061.

General

For each of the solutions please explain:

- In detail what the solution is, linking to external documents where necessary.
- How this is being used, and how it is delivering benefits.
- What the volume unit is and what you have counted as a single unit.
- How each of the impacts have been calculated, including what assumptions have been relied upon.

Introduction:

This commentary and the associated CBAs contain details of the innovative solutions which have incurred expenditure and delivered outputs during the period April 2017 to March 2018 (ie RIIO-ED1, year 3).

Innovative Solutions:

There are four Innovative Solutions which form part of our business as usual activities during 2017/18:

- Transformer regeneration (combined Online Transformer Monitoring)
- LV Fault Management (Fault Support Centre and Smart Fuse Devices)
- Connection and Management of PV Clusters (LV Smart Joint)
- Demand Side Response (Catterall).

Several of these solutions are presented as separate projects, however they are eventually brought together in combination to form a new and innovative solution. The table below summarises these interactions. Owing to this combination of several projects into combined solutions and to avoid double counting of the associated costs and benefits, a CBA has only been prepared for the combined solution.

Transformer regeneration

What the solution is:

The condition of the oil in the transformer main tank is a good proxy of the general condition of the transformer as a whole. It has been shown from recent research that via unique application of transformer oil regeneration (a process whereby transformer oil is cleaned through an on-site process) can result in an improvement in overall condition of the transformer. When this is used in conjunction with enhanced transformer monitoring, this can extend the expected life of the transformer.

How it is being used:

Transformer regeneration is being used as an alternative to traditional asset replacement. The regeneration activities are being undertaken on those assets which are categorised as 'end of life' due to their age or Health Index criticality level. It is assumed that the year in which the oil regen is completed is the year that the transformer would have been replaced, based their HI categorisation. Regeneration activities are also being undertaken on those transformers categorised as 'mid life' in order to determine the optimum point in a transformer's life cycle to implement oil regeneration activities to further extend the life of the asset.

How it is delivering benefits:

The financial benefits from this innovative solution are derived from transformer lifetime extension and hence deferment of asset replacement costs. Other benefits include quality of supply benefits which relate to improved understanding of the risk of failure of older transformers and a better insight into the oil ageing process. The environmental benefits result from extending the life of an existing transformer and its oil therefore reducing the requirement for disposal of and/or recycling of used oil and scrapping the transformer. However additional losses are incurred due to the delayed implementation of modern equivalent transformers.

This is used in conjunction with the Combined Online Transformer Monitoring (described below).

Combined Online Transformer Monitoring

What the solution is:

As transformer life is extended through the use of techniques such as transformer oil regeneration, network operators must be certain that the refurbished units will continue to operate both safely and reliably. To support this, a real-time condition monitoring system has been developed which provides us with enhanced information on each refurbished transformer via an on-line information dashboard.

How it is being used:

Transformer monitoring is being fitted to all transformers which have had their oil regenerated in RIIO-ED1 for a period of time to confirm (via observable data) that both the initial condition of the transformer is improved and that this improved condition is maintained thereafter. The solution is being used as part of our intervention plan to extend the life of a large number of 132kV and 33kV transformers. The technology is fitted to targeted transformers for a short period prior to the commencement of the oil regeneration process and continues for a defined period thereafter.

How it is delivering benefits:

The condition monitoring provides us with confirmation that the transformer regeneration process has been successful in improving the condition of the transformer oil and thus the main tank. The combined online transformer monitoring is a key enabling technology for the refurbishment of large volumes of 132kV and 33kV transformers under the transformer tegeneration innovative solution.

LV Fault Management - Fault Support Centre

What the solution is:

The Fault Support Centre (FSC) is an enhanced Low Voltage network fault management solution which makes use of the increased penetration of intelligent devices such as the Bidoyng coupled with an innovative commercial partnership with a third party provider (Kelvatek). The FSC provides a real-time operational management of low voltage networks to allow for the proactive management of faults. The data obtained can be further used to target areas of the network which would benefit from asset replacement.

How it is being used:

This solution is being used as the business-as-usual approach for how all transient faults are managed. In the event that a transient fault is detected, a smart fuse device such as the Bidoyng or Weezap is fitted to the suspect LV network. Kelvatek is informed of the installation event and data recorded by the Bidoyng/Weezap in real-time to monitor the suspect network.

Kelvatek will continue to monitor the affected networks until they have determined the potential location of the fault causing the transient supply interruption and issued an instruction to our field teams to investigate with the aim of locating and removing the fault or it can be reasonably shown that the transient fault is no longer active. In both cases, the equipment will be recovered and redeployed elsewhere.

How it is delivering benefits:

The Fault Support Centre allows for the proactive management of LV transient faults. Our customer engagement activities have shown that these types of fault are one of the biggest cause of customer dissatisfaction. The ability to repair these faults before they have chance to progress into a permanent fault will significantly reduce the number of associated faults and reduce customer

disruption accordingly.

Further benefits flow from the reduced CI and CML and associated fault costs that the proactive management of faults delivers.

LV Fault Management - Smart Fuse Devices

What the solution is:

The smart fuse devices produced by Kelvatek such as the Bidoyng and the Weezap act as an innovative replacement for the standard low voltage fuse. They provide a multi-shot re-close feature as opposed to the single operation offered by the standard fuse. This means that customer supplies can be automatically restored in the event of a transient fault, reducing the number of customer interruptions and customer minutes lost and the costs associated with managing our response to a loss of supply. This enhanced approach to LV fault improves customer satisfaction.

In addition, the Bidoyng provides increased network visibility via its ability to measure and transmit to our Network Management System key network parameters and make this available in near real-time.

How it is being used:

These smart fuse devices are used to reduce the customer impacts of faults, facilitate increased understanding of the impact of the connection of low carbon technologies and improve the management of network faults.

These devices are acting as an enablers for a number of innovation solutions and applications. In particular, they are a key tool in the management of low voltage transient faults. These faults are intermittent in nature and are often difficult to find and repair. The Bidoyng is used to both minimise the customer disruption associated with a fault (ie by automatic restoration of supplies) and to help engineers to locate the fault (using travelling wave technology built into the smart device) thus allowing proactive repair of the fault.

How it is delivering benefits:

The Bidoyng smart fuse is a key enabling technology. It is being used as the main technology deployed on faulty parts of the LV network as part of the Fault Support Centre. In addition, it is providing information on the performance of the network to facilitate the application of the Connect & Manage approach to domestic PV clusters connected to the LV network.

Within 2017/18 there has been a further roll out of Weezap smart fuses. These devices have the capacity for five auto-recloses, whereas the Bidoyng has the capacity for only two. The further recloses offered by the Weezap saves additional subsequent customer interruptions (a reduction from 807 in 2016/17 to 516 in 2017/18) while providing us with further information regarding the fault location enabled through the monitoring service managed by FSC. As a result, the CI and CML savings have increased considerably.

Connection and Management of PV Clusters

What the solution is:

As a result of the learning outcomes of the LCNF Tier 1 Project – Low Voltage Network Solutions (LVNS), we have been able to successfully implement a streamlined approach to the connection of domestic scale PV systems to the LV network. These systems are often connected in clusters and can give rise to

associated network voltage and thermal issues.

Traditionally, a network operator would undertake detailed and time consuming network assessments to be performed in advance of allowing the connection to proceed. These assessments are aimed at understanding if the connection could give rise to any of the aforementioned problems. However, as a result of the research that was undertaken as part of the LVNS project and the sophisticated network modelling that underpinned it we have adopted the alternative approach of connecting PV and monitoring the LV network.

We have successfully shown that up to a certain threshold (ie percentage of customers with PV systems) it is acceptable to allow the connections to proceed. Once the threshold is met however we will install network monitors to assess using actual recorded data if the network requires and further assessments.

How it is being used:

The solution is being actively used across our network. We use this to avoid the often costly and time consuming network assessments that can accompany generation connections. We have established a business process supported by internal policy that provides for a continued monitoring of the PV volumes. Specific actions are triggered when these volumes are exceeded and follow up actions are performed as appropriate.

How it is delivering benefits:

The solution delivers benefits to customers in the form of avoided waiting times associated with the connection of PV systems to the LV network. We have also been able to avoid expensive and resource intensive network connection studies, thus reducing internal costs and freeing up resources to concentrate of other parts of our connection services.

Demand Side Response:

What the solution is:

Catterall Primary Substation has a single 7.5MVA transformer and a firm capacity of 5 MVA, limited by High Voltage transfer capability. The peak demand at Catterall Primary is 7.41 MVA, which exceeds the firm capacity by 2.41 MVA.

Catterall primary is compliant with ENA Engineering Recommendation (ER) P2/6. The non-compliance issue only exists when the system is operating abnormally due to the loss of the circuit supplign the transformer or transformer (ie under a fault situation), as the demand exceeds the transfer capacity. Deferring the reinforcement and entering into a commercial contract with the (the local water company) to reduce their demand allows us to control Catterall's primary demand patterns and enables us to be compliant with ER P2/6. Purchasing Demand Side Response ensures that the demand does not exceed the capacity when the system is abnormal.

How it is being used:

Under system abnormal conditions, we will switch out a circuit at Catterall primary to reduce the demand at United Utilities' site, to the enable the restoration of supplies connected to Catterall primary so the transfer capacity of 5 MVA is not exceeded. United Utilities has agreed to have their demand reduced by 3 MVA for up to eight hours to allow time for us to identify and resolve the issue. This is an agreed three year contract, with a payment based on a \pounds per MVA per year, with a maximum of six events over the three year period. After three years the parties have the right to extend the arrangement a one year

rolling basis.

How it is delivering benefits:

Demand Side Response limits the demand on Catterall primary to the transfer capacity for the loss of the transformer and with continuous monitoring provides the opportunity to defer or carry out reinforcement in the future if demand increases or arrangements change.

Use of the RIIO-ED1 CBA Tool

DNOs should use the latest version of the RIIO-ED1 CBA Tool for each solution reported in the Regulatory Year under report. Where the RIIO-ED1 CBA Tool cannot be used to justify a solution, DNOs should explain why and provide evidence for how they have derived the equivalent figures for the worksheet. The most up-to-date CBA for each solution reported in the Regulatory Year under report which are used to complete the worksheet must be submitted.

Changes to CBAs

If, following an update to the CBA used to originally justify the activity in column C, the updated CBA shows a negative net benefit for an activity, but the DNO decides it is in the best interests of consumers to continue the activity, the DNO should include an explanation of what has changed and why the DNO is continuing the activity.

The losses calculation for Oil Regeneration has been updated for FY17 as the methodology has been updated. It is now assumed that the year in which Oil Regeneration is undertaken is the year in which the asset would have been replaced for those assets which are at end of life. This will bring costs incurred in the year in line with the costs avoided due to this activity and avoid any future changes to any values.

Calculation of benefits

Explain how the benefits have been calculated, including all assumptions used and details of the counterfactual scenario against which the benefits are calculated.

Transformer regeneration:

The costs used in the CBA are derived from the CV7 and CV9 tables of the Costs and Volumes pack. The losses impact is calculated in terms of the increase in losses seen annually for each year in which the life of the transformer has been extended in comparison to the losses of a modern equivalent transformer.

The cost of oil regeneration at those sites which are 'mid-life' have been accounted for, however the avoided cost of the replacement transformer has not been included. This is because we are not necessarily extending the life of the asset, but conducting regeneration at different points within the life cycle in order to identify the optimum timing for regeneration activities.

LV Fault Management:

The CBA is informed by the number of phases on LV ways fitted with reclosing devices during 2017 – 18, the number of times the devices operated prior to the fault being located and repaired, and the number of customers fed off each phase

of each way. It was assumed faults occurred linearly throughout the week and therefore costs for the baseline case include premium time working. Each callout to replace a fuse was costed at three hours and it was assumed that customers would be without supply for at least 90 minutes.

Repairs were assumed to commence immediately a fault became permanent. It was assumed that the installation of reclosing devices removed the requirement for fuse replacement and as supplies were restored within three minutes, the Customer Interruptions and Customer Minutes Lost were reduced. The input from the Fault Support Centre allowed faults to be located prior to becoming permanent and it was assumed that all faults were repaired during normal working hours. The cost of setting up the FSC was included in year 1 of the CBA.

PV Connect & Manage:

The volumes used for this CBA were based on the numbers of customers added to the Feed-In Tariff database during 2017-18. For the baseline scenario, it was assumed that there would be a requirement to purchase a tool for LV system analysis and that clusters of PV would consist of 24 properties covering 20% of the total. The remaining 80% would have an average 1.5 properties per application. Planning time was allocated at 12 hours per scheme for larger schemes and one hour per scheme for smaller schemes.

Traditional intervention solutions for the larger schemes were split between LV cable overlay (5%), transformer change (5%) and altering transformer taps (40%). It was assumed that for 50% of the larger schemes and all of the smaller schemes that the PV demand was approved.

For the Connect & Manage scenario, it was assumed that 20% of the applications would lead to LV system monitoring being installed and 20% of the total number requiring further planning. Of those that require planning and monitoring, it was assumed that only 10% would require any reinforcement works, and of this 10%, 10% would require an LV cable overlay and 90% would require the tap positioning to be altered.

In the CBA calculations the Feed-In Tariff number was provided by Ofgem. This number (1159) differs from the PV installed number in table E7 (644) which is the number of installations that we had been informed of.

Demand Side Response:

No additional benefit are being claimed within this reporting year, as the avoided cost of reinforcement was recorded in the 2016/17 submission. However costs have been incurred in the year as the customer has received their annual payment for the demand side response services that they provide. These costs are derived from CV1. It is assumed that United Utilities will continuously extend the demand side response agreement indefinitely.

Cost benefit analysis additional information

Please include a reference to the file name and location of any additional relevant evidence submitted to support the costs and benefits inputted into this worksheet. This should include the most recent CBA for each solution reported in the Regulatory Year under report.



TX Regen CBA FY18 RIIO ED1_v1.0 .xlsx







LV fault management PV Connect & Demand Side FY18 RIIO ED1 CBA v Manage FY18 RIIO EI Response FY18 RIIO

E7 - LCTs

Allocation and estimation methodologies: detail any estimations, allocations or apportionments to calculate the numbers submitted.

The ENW definition of secondary (up to 11kV) and primary (33kV and above) networks was used to disaggregate between the types of networks connected on to.

LCT - Processes used to report data

- (i) Please explain processes used to calculate or estimate the number and size of each type of LCT.
- (ii) If any assumptions have been made in calculating or estimating either of these values, these must be noted and explained.

Secondary network

The number of secondary network low carbon technologies installed was provided by the Data Management and Connections teams.

LCTs Installed – Secondary Networks Heat Pumps

From the Data Management Heat Pumps database the number of heat pump units installed in 2017/18 was filtered from the Date Approved / Received with any blank entries not counted.

Using this filter the following volumes were identified:

38 units of total heat pump size of 327.465 kVA.

To convert the kVA to MW a conversion factor of 1 kVA = 0.001 MW was used to give a total of 0.327465 MW.

LCTs Installed – Secondary Networks EV Slow Charge (up to 16A/3.7kW draw-down)

From the Data Management SSEG database (EV NEW, HP & EV and IDNO – EV tables) the secondary networks EV slow charge units installed in 2017/18 was identified:

- EV New worksheet by filtering on the date of installation and size with any blank or non-numeric data not counted.
- HP and EV worksheet by filtering on the date of commissioning.
- IDNO EVs worksheet by energisation date and size with any blank data not counted. Only addresses within the ENW distribution area were counted.

From the EV NEW Table: 94 units (all 16A)

From the HP & EV Table: 0 units [table is no longer used]

From the IDNO - EV Table: 0 units (up to 16A)

Total 2017/18 = 94 EV slow charge units installed.

To convert the size to maximum export allowed in MW a draw down of 3.7kW for each 16A units was assumed to give a total of 0.3478 MW (94 x 3.7 / 1000).

LCTs Installed - EV Fast Charge (above 16A/3.7kW draw-down)

From the Data Management SSEG database (EV NEW and IDNO-EV'S tables) the secondary networks EV fast charge units installed in 2017/18 was identified:

- EV New worksheet by filtering on the date of installation and size with any blank or non-numeric data not counted.
- IDNO EVs worksheet by energisation date and size with any blank or nonnumeric data not counted. Only addresses within the ENW distribution area were counted.

From the EV NEW Table: 681 units (greater than 16A) From the IDNO - EV Table: 1 units (greater than 16A)

Total 2017/18 = 682 EV fast charge units installed.

To convert the size to maximum export allowed in MW a draw down of 7kW for each unit was assumed to give a total of 4.774 MW (682x 7 / 1000).

LCTs Installed – Secondary Networks PVs (G83)

From the Data Management SSEG database (SSEG, IDNO SSEGs and SSEG + Battery tables) the PV units installed in 2017/18 was filtered by the Banding and then Date of Energisation with any blank entries not counted. Only those in the ENW distribution area were counted.

Using this filter the following volumes were identified:

Band 1.1 (Domestic CHP and all microgeneration up to 1.5kW)

From SSEG tab: 153 units From IDNO SSEGs tab: 7 units

Total 2017/18 = 160 units installed.

For the 160 Band 1.1 units installed the total connected kVA was:

From SSEG tab: 138.95 kVA From IDNO SSEGs tab: 4.9 kVA

Total 2017/18 = 143.85 kVA.

To convert the kVA to MW a conversion factor of 1 kVA = 0.001 MW was used to give a total of 0.14385 MW.

Band 1.2 (Domestic CHP and all microgeneration from 1.5kW single phase to 16A per phase, including 1 phase and 3 phase installations)

From SSEG tab: 469 units
From IDNO SSEGs tab: 10 units
From SSEG + Battery tab: 5 units

Total 2017/18 = 484 units installed.

For the 484 Band 1.2 units installed the total connected kVA was:

From SSEG tab: 1570.37 kVA From IDNO SSEGs tab: 27.3 kVA From SSEG + Battery tab: 15.76 kVA

Total 2017/18 = 1613.43 kVA.

To convert the kVA to MW a conversion factor of 1 kVA = 0.001 MW was used to give a total of 1.61343 MW.

For LCTs Installed – Secondary Networks PVs (G83) the total for 2017/18 was 644 (160 + 484) units installed with an estimated size of 1.75728 MW (0.14385 + 1.61343)

LCTs Installed - Secondary Networks DG (non G83)

From the information provided by Connections the number of units installed was

Secondary network – low voltage: 44 units Secondary network – high voltage: 33 units

Total 2017/18 = 77 units

From the information provided by Connections the MW was

Secondary network – low voltage: 1.6 MW Secondary network – high voltage: 45.7 MW

Total 2017/18 = 47.3 MW

Primary Network

LCTs Installed – Primary Networks DG (non G83)

From the information provided by Connections the units installed at 33 kV and above was

Number of units = 4 units Total plant capacity = 68 MW

LCT - Uptake

Please explain how the level of LCT uptake experienced compares to the forecast in your RIIO-ED1 Business Plan and the DECC low carbon scenarios. This must also include any expectation of changes in the trajectory for each LCT over the next Regulatory Year in comparison to actuals to date.

The volumes of LCTs installed increased in 2015-16 and 2016-17 and was expected to contine to rise. However, reported volumes do not indicate this.

In our RIIO-ED1 Business Plan we concluded that the DECC Low scenario was the most probable estimate for our region over the period. The uptake in the first two years of the RIIO-ED1 period is indicating an overall uptake at the end of the

persio that is significantly below the forecast.